

**Wind Hazard & Infrastructure Performance Center
Texas Tech University & Florida International University**

**Guidelines for Effectively Participating in Level of Interest and Feedback Evaluation (LIFE)
Process**

Denis Gray; denis_gray@ncsu.edu

Introduction: *The LIFE feedback process is not a project selection methodology but is meant to inform whatever project selection approach your center uses. There are a number of purposes served by asking industry representatives and PIs to complete LIFE feedback on project proposals: 1) Q&A time is usually limited and having member organizations provide written feedback allows everyone the chance to have input; 2) Written feedback gives PIs a chance to consider industry concerns and provide a thoughtful reply; 3) Feedback and replies can be debriefed as a group and help surface areas of agreement and disagreement and reach a consensus on the need for and feasibility of project changes. 4) Reviewing the interest rating distribution allows members to understand whether a few or many members are interested in a project and use this information to decide how to vote during project selection.*

Steps for Completing Feedback and Responses (see screen shots next page):

1. Website: www.iucrc.com
Select Center Meeting: **Wind Hazard & Infrastructure Performance Center**
2. Enter PW = **whip19spring**
3. Select role: **IAB** for industrial participants or **PI** for faculty project leads
4. IAB
 - a. Click [Evaluate Project]. Since presentations are updates select a level of progress rating based on your firm's needs and interests.
 - b. Provide questions, suggestions or comments you have about the project. **The most valuable feedback are "actionable" comments like suggestions and questions that help the PI improve the project.**
 - c. Enter your Name and your Organization.
 - d. **SELECT SUBMIT AFTER EACH PROJECT.**
 - e. Repeat for each project.
5. PI
 - a. Read instructions and click [Continue to Response Page].
 - b. Find your project and select [Response to Comments]
 - c. Read comments provided by industry members and respond as necessary (not every comment may require a response).
 - d. **SELECT SUBMIT AFTER BEFORE MOVING TO NEXT PAGE.**
6. Both
 - a. You can review the feedback and responses to each project by selecting [Summary] next to each project.
 - b. If you would like to review responses to all projects presented at the meeting, you may use the [Review Meeting] link at the top of the project list page (PDF and Word versions are also available).

Reviewing LIFE Feedback: IAB Facilitators

During our IAB session specific IAB representatives will be asked to summarize the feedback and responses for a specific project. **Generally your oral summary should last about 3-4 minutes and should address at least the following:**

- **What were the major types of questions or concerns that were raised about the project**
- **What changes, if any, might be taken to the research plan to address these questions or concerns.**

1. Go to: iucrc.com

2. Select your center and give password

Project Update

The following listing of meetings is within a +- 30 day range

pw=whip19spring

- July 24th, 2012 SVC - Smart Vehicle Concepts Center
- July 24th, 2012 JulyTest
- June 25th, 2012 Configuration Analytics and Automation (CCAA)
- June 26th, 2012 Center for Advanced Forestry Systems (CAFS)
- June 28th, 2012 CICI
- July 10th, 2012 Test_Sarah
- July 10th, 2012 Sustainability Integrated Buildings and Sites
- July 11th, 2012 Simple Test
- July 11th, 2012 AnotherTest
- July 11th, 2012 NewLifeCenter
- July 12th, 2012 TestAgain
- July 12th, 2012 CDADIC
- July 17th, 2012 Int. Mater. Join. Sci. Energy Applications
- July 24th, 2012 SVC - Smart Vehicle Concepts Center
- July 24th, 2012 JulyTest
- July 26th, 2012 Silicon Solar Consortium (SiSoC)
- August 15th, 2012 CPaSS Fall 2012 IAB Meeting

3. Select role

Select Your Role

[IAB] [PI]

Questions? Comments? email iucrc@ncsu.edu

Copyright ISL @ UCF

4. IAB: Select project

Cent. Disruptive Musculoskeletal Innov (UC San Francisco) July 22nd, 2013

Index of Projects

Title	Project Id	[Evaluate Project]	[Summary]
Independent Predictors of Cost for the Management of Adult Spinal Deformity - Sigurd Berven (UCSF)	1A	[Evaluate Project]	[Summary]
Reducing Surgical Site Infection in Spine Surgery - Saam Morshed (UCSF)	1B	[Evaluate Project]	[Summary]
Junctional Pathology in Spinal Deformity Surgery - Shane Burch (UCSF)	1C	[Evaluate Project]	[Summary]
Peak Back Torque and Range of Motion as Predictors for Subsequent Back Injury At 6 and 12 Months: A - Martin Rice (U. of Toledo)	1D	[Evaluate Project]	[Summary]
Tools for Analysis and Quantification of Function and Movement of the Upper Extremity - Ruzena Pajcsy (UC Berkeley)	2A	[Evaluate Project]	[Summary]
MRI Metal Artifact reduction pulse			

5. IAB: Rate project, give comments

CPaSS Fall 2012 IAB Meeting

Level of Interest and Feedback Evaluation (LIFE) Form

Project Name: (L1) Greener Surface Active Agents - Structure/Property/Performance Relationships

Project PI: Jun Wu (Columbia University)

To facilitate a dialogue between Center Faculty and Member Organizations, each industry representative is asked to indicate his/her organization's level of interest in each project.

Level of Interest

Very Interested

Interested

Interested with Change

Not Interested

Abstain (Not Relevant to Company)

Comments? Please give your opinions about the progress since the last report, level of effort, offers to help and support, quality of research, scientific merit, suggested changes, pre-competitive applications, benefits to industry, and/or other comments here:

6. PI: Respond to Comments & Submit

Level of Interest

Very Interested - 0

Interested - 0

Interested with Change - 1

Not Interested - 0

Abstain - 0

Interested with Change

Interesting project but would like to see this at a higher calibration and water flow. What is the greatest water flow available in your lab?

Optional: Respond up to 500 Characters (0/500)

Name: Required *Names will be visible by everyone [Submit Response]